

11/17/16

## Meeting called to order at 4:32

### Roll Call

Absent: Benjamin Bechard, Samuel West, Courtney Brandon, Asha Patel, Hermon Phuntling, Denarius Stinson, Timothy Bassett, Rahsaan Robinson, Jennifer Alcorn, April Carroll, Monica Haun, Nick Lembo, Vinay Vushagani

Late: Chloe Brandon, Ricdarius Dickerson

### Minutes

- Motion was made to forgo the reading of the minutes
- Motion passes

### Officer Reports

### Committee Reports

### Old Business

- **Tentative SGA Bill 3-16-F-FC**
  - Move for favorable passages
    - Sponsor declines right to first debate
    - Senator A. Tracy claims that this bill is unfavorable; the two reserved positions would not be fully representative of the freshmen on campus
    - Senator Euwing wonders why the Freshman Council thinks their voices aren't heard.
      - FC representative states that some people within the FC who had problems or wanted to debate matters discussed within senate didn't have the chance.
    - Senator Lytle points out that the freshmen are inexperienced, no respective college
    - Senator Anderson asks the Senate to keep in mind that the students in FC are representatives of an entire group of students
      - She is in favor of the bill
    - Senator Seagraves claims that members of freshman council aren't truly representative of the overall freshman class
      - Its a training program
      - President Tracy interjects that through research she found out that the program was implemented to give freshman a voice without the chaos of running
    - Senator Eisenberg states that the freshman council, as a whole, was appointed by qualified people
    - Move to previous question

- Seconded
  - Vote to end debate
    - Yes - 26
    - No – 1
    - Abstain – 0
  - Voting for the Bill
    - Yes - 10
    - No - 17
    - Abstain – 0
    - Motion fails
- **Tentative SGA Bill 4-16-F**
  - Move for favorable passage
  - Senator A. Tracy wonders if this will cause people to run for executive board positions without really wanting it
  - No further debate
  - Voting on bill
    - Yes – 24
    - No – 4
    - Abstain – 1
    - Motion passes
- **Tentative SGA Bill 5-16-F**
  - Move for favorable passage
  - No debate
  - Voting on bill
    - Yes - 25
    - No – 1
    - Abstain – 1
    - Motion passes

## **New Business**

- **Tentative SGA Resolution 10-16-F**
  - Move for favorable passage
  - Senator Haddock wonders what this resolution would this entail
    - The Sponsor states that the actual departmental name would change
  - Senator Lester asks if this resolution pertains to undergraduate and graduate students.
    - The Sponsor states that, at the current moment, the students applying to graduate schools are being hindered by this unrecognizable department
  - Senator Euwing supports the resolution
  - Senator Elliot supports the resolution

- No further debate
- Voting on the resolution
  - Yes - 29
  - No – 0
  - Abstain – 0
  
- **Tentative SGA Bill 11-16-F**
  - Move for favorable passage
  - Senator Mussleman acquires about the cost when students don't use these properly
  - Senator P. Tracy believes an affective spot would be by the drinks
  - Senator LeClaire wonders if moving certain recycling bins would appease this issue rather than adding new ones
  - Senator P. Tracy moves to amend SGA Bill 11-16-F in section 2 with an emphasis on "placing recycling bins by the drink machines on the first floor of the student union building"
    - seconded
      - voting on amendment
        - yes - 17
        - no - 4
        - abstain - 5
        - amendment passes
  - Move to previous question
    - Seconded
    - Voting on ending debate
      - Yes - 27
      - No - 1
      - Abstain - 0
  - Voting on resolution
    - Yes - 17
    - No - 9
    - Abstain – 2
    - Motion fails
  
- **Tentative SGA Resolution 12-16-F**
  - Moves for favorable passage
  - Senator A. Tracy wonders if this resolution is just a means of gratitude
    - The Sponsor, Senator Mussleman, says yes; the resolution would just be a means of saying that the Senate approves of the overall design
  - Senator Wech wonders if this resolution would change the position of this project on the master-plan
    - Senator Mussleman claims that it would not; the resolution is just a means of gratitude

- No further debate
- Voting on resolution
  - Yes - 21
  - No - 5
  - Abstain -2
  - Motion Passes

**Announcements**

**Meeting adjourned at 5:41**